#### **MISSION STATEMENT**

To protect the public health and conserve resources by treating wastewater, monitoring and maintaining closed landfills, collecting and processing solid waste and diverting recyclables and hazardous materials from the waste stream in a cost effective, compliant manner.

Appropriation	Actual 2005-06	Position Allocations	В	OS Adopted 2006-07	Position Allocations
Special Districts (Internal Services Fund)*	\$ 5,746,890	42	\$	5,907,855	49
Solid Waste Management (Enterprise Fund)*	2,400,460	20		2,965,439	22
Eastern Regional Landfill (Enterprise Fund)*	670,450	0		1,392,030	0
ERSLA Closure (Enterprise Fund)*	 0	0		0	0
Total:	\$ 8,817,800	62	\$	10,265,324	71

<sup>\*</sup> Amounts include total operating expenses and fixed assets

### **CORE FUNCTIONS**

### Special Districts (Internal Service Fund)

To operate and maintain the County Sewer Maintenance Districts (SMD) and County Service Areas (CSA) that provide sewer service, domestic water service, drainage maintenance and a water source for fire protection to various CSA's within Placer County.

### Solid Waste Management (Enterprise Fund)

To manage the diversion of recyclable materials, and the collection and disposal of solid waste generated within Placer County in an environmentally safe manner. To maintain and monitor closed landfills in Loomis, Foresthill and Meadow Vista.

### Eastern Regional Landfill (Enterprise Fund)

To monitor and maintain the Eastern Regional Landfill (ERL) to protect the environment and to comply with regulatory requirements. To manage the Eastern Regional Material Recovery Facility (MRF) to divert material away from landfills in compliance with State law.

### ERSLA Closure/Post Closure (Enterprise Fund)

To meet the financial assurance obligations for the closure costs and post closure costs of Title XXVII of the Code of Regulations. These regulations require that funding be maintained in trust to ensure that enough funds are dedicated and available to meet the financial needs of the closed facility. This enterprise fund is used to fund the post closure expenses of the landfill.

### FY 2005-06 Major Accomplishments

- Completed repairs of the Rock Creek Trunk Sewer where it passes under Rock Creek.
- ➤ Hired consultants and began studies and environmental review work relating to regionalizing the Auburn, SMD No. 3, and Applegate sewer systems into the Lincoln Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.
- Hired consultants to prepare a Treatment System Master Plan for SMD1.

### Jim Durfee, Director

- Installed 16 flow meters that will provide data needed to track infiltration and inflow problems and satisfy permit requirements.
- Submitted a draft Industrial Pretreatment Program for review by state and federal agencies.
- Designed an expansion of the Sheridan Wastewater Treatment Ponds to prevent future discharges into Yankee Slough.
- Maintained and monitored closed landfill facilities at Meadow Vista, Loomis, and Foresthill in compliance with local, state and federal regulations.
- Managed garbage collection contracts for residents and businesses in the unincorporated county, including transfer stations in Meadow Vista and Foresthill.
- > Conducted customer satisfaction surveys of residential and commercial garbage collection customers.
- Submitted the annual report for calendar year 2004 to the California Integrated Waste Management Board in compliance with state requirements. The County achieved a 59% diversion level for solid waste, exceeding the State requirement of 50%.
- Completed installation of a larger leachate storage tank at the Meadow Vista Landfill, which will allow for more cost effective pumping of the tank.
- Revised the Solid Waste Facility Permit for the Eastern Regional Material Recovery Facility (ERMRF) to increase allowable tonnages to accommodate planned growth in the eastern portion of the County.

### FY 2006-07 Planned Accomplishments

- > Begin development of a Sewer System Master Plan to better organize maintenance and expansion of the sewer collection system and achieve compliance with new state requirements.
- Negotiate a new discharge permit for the SMD No. 3 wastewater treatment plant that will allow the District to protect the public health while continuing efforts to either regionalize wastewater treatment or upgrade the existing treatment plant.
- Repair sewer collection pipes and manholes and to reduce infiltration and inflow.
- Replace or refurbish the Auburn Ravine Liftstation, which handles approximately 1/3 of the wastewater flowing to Plant 1.
- Prepare a Residential Infiltration and Inflow Ordinance for adoption by the Board of Supervisors.
- > Upgrade the existing leachate storage tank at the Eastern Regional Landfill to include level sensors and SCADA communications that will allow the status of the system to be determined remotely.

#### Department Comments

The department continues efforts to provide cost-effective wastewater collection and treatment services. During the upcoming year, the Special Districts Division will focus on compliance with increasingly stringent regulatory requirements, repairing aging infrastructure and accommodating growth within the various sewer districts. Additional staff and consulting engineers are needed to move forward with consultant studies and large-scale capital improvement projects.

The Solid Waste Management Division will continue maintaining and monitoring the County's closed landfills, protecting the public health and the environment. The Division also oversees garbage collection contracts, including operation of transfer stations at the Meadow Vista and Foresthill Landfills.

The Solid Waste Management Division's staff also manages the Eastern Regional Landfill Enterprise Fund and serves as staff to the Western Placer Waste Management Authority.

Jim Durfee, Director

### County Executive Comments and Recommendations

Special District Services is an internal service fund that provides services on a fee basis. In order to achieve efficiencies and accommodate additional regulatory requirements and increased activities, the department is reorganizing Special Districts and Solid Waste into the Environmental Engineering and Utilities Division. The Environmental Engineering Division will perform engineering work for both programs while the latter will be devoted to the operation of the sewer systems, water systems and treatment plants. The department's current revenues will fund increased programmatic costs. As a result, the recommended budget includes seven additional allocations, an approved reclassification, equipment purchases, and other operational expenses needed to successfully accomplish the department's objectives. The recommended budget contains the new positions: a senior civil engineer to replace the senior allocation transferred to the Community Development Resource Agency's Engineering and Surveying Division, a senior project manager, three maintenance / utility services workers, a staff services analyst and a laboratory technician. An engineering technician allocation was also transferred to the CDRA. Funding is included for the reclassification of an information technology technician to a senior information technology technician. Fixed asset purchases (\$281,500) include 2 trailers, 2 flow meters, water treatment equipment, a mini pumper and an inspection van. Revenues (\$6,641,083) will reimburse operations and equipment expenses (\$6,117,100) and fund reserves (\$523,983).

The *Solid Waste Management* budget salaries and benefits have increased due in part to general countywide considerations, and in larger part due to funding for two new waste disposal site attendant positions. The new positions are directly offset with increased revenue through Solid Waste Services. Operating expenditures have increased proportionately, and coupled with a projected 19% increase to general revenues, results in a net income increase of \$506,663 over the previous year. The total net income of \$823,530 is being placed in the Solid Waste reserve account.

Eastern Regional Landfill operating expenditures have increased slightly (less than 3%), while projected revenues have increased by 4.5%. The result is an \$87,030 increase in department net income. Funds available for addition to reserves or future facility needs are \$494,939.

The California Integrated Waste Management Board no longer requires an *ERSLA Closure Fund* for closed landfills. Subsequently, this appropriation has been terminated.

### Final Budget Changes from the Proposed Budget

Special District Services Internal Fund adjustments include a 7.5% increase in salaries for engineers (\$83,376) as a result of a market salary survey study. Expenditure reductions occurred in several service and supplies accounts (\$272,621). Additionally, 2 water meters are not being purchased (\$20,000). Although adopted fee increases in June were incorporated into revenue estimates, final budget fee revenue (\$502,679) and interest earnings (\$7,048) were reduced to more accurately reflect revenue trends. The Special District Fund will add funding to a reserve account, Designation for Building Purchase (\$68,085), and cancel reserves, Designation for Fixed Asset Acquisition (\$39,127). The General Reserve added in the Proposed Budget is being reduced to zero from \$523,983.

The Solid Waste Management Fund added funding to the General Reserve (\$1,573,566) with the final budget.

With the final budget the *Eastern Regional Landfill* added funding to reserve accounts, Designated for Building Purchase (\$500,000), Designated for Road Improvements (\$5,121) and Designated for Contingencies (\$476,511).

With the final budget the *Eastern Regional Closure Fund* added funding to the reserve account, Reserve for Final Cover (\$114,812).

### PROPRIETARY FUNDS

# SPECIAL DISTRICTS INTERNAL SERVICE FUND FUND 260800 / APPROPRIATION 06280

	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	F	Requested 2006-07	Re	commended 2006-07	Change %	Adopted 2006-07
Operating Expenses								
Salaries and Employee Benefits	\$ 3,254,059	\$ 3,701,816	\$	4,009,158	\$	4,009,158	8%	\$ 4,049,697
Services and Supplies	1,120,614	1,456,496		1,686,442		1,686,442	16%	1,456,658
Other Charges	147,422	165,971		-		-	-100%	-
Other Financing Uses	-	16,879		-		-	-100%	-
Appropriations for Contingencies	-	-		140,000		140,000	100%	140,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 4,522,095	\$ 5,341,162	\$	5,835,600	\$	5,835,600	9%	\$ 5,646,355
Revenue								
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 6,786	\$ 21,917	\$	31,000	\$	31,000	41%	\$ 23,952
Charges for Services	4,547,726	5,111,317		6,610,083		6,610,083	29%	6,107,404
Miscellaneous Revenue	131,796	68,180		-		-	-100%	-
Total Revenue:	4,725,008	5,188,573		6,641,083		6,641,083	28%	6,131,356
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 202,913	\$ (152,589)	\$	805,483	\$	805,483	-628%	\$ 485,001
Fixed Assets Allocated Positions	\$ 384,802 44	\$ 405,728 42	\$	281,500 49		281,500 49	-31% 17%	\$ 261,500 49

CORE FUNCTION: SPECIAL DISTRICTS

### Special District Engineering Program

**Program Purpose:** To design sewer line and treatment plant rehabilitation and expansion projects, and to provide management of all construction projects and review new development plans in order to provide quality sewer infrastructure to unincorporated areas of Placer County.

**Total Expenditures:** \$1,373,226 **Total Staffing:** 11.0

 Key Intended Outcome: Quality sewer infrastructure is available to areas of unincorporated Placer County.

Special District Services Indicators:	Actual 2003-04	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	Projected 2006-07
\$ value of rehabilitation and construction projects per year	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$1,600,000
# of sewer connection inspections made within 48 hours / # completed per technician	1,407 / 351	1,733 / 577	1,225 / 408	N/A*
# of development plans processed within specified timelines / # processed per engineer	338 / 113	239 / 120	167 / 167	N/A*
# Underground Service Alert markings completed /	1,266 / 316	1,068 / 356	1,296 / 324	1,300 / 400

Jim Durfee, Director

# marked per technician				
# of new connections accommodated	423	505	350	500

<sup>\*</sup> Function being completed in FY 2006-07 and in the future by the Community Development Resource Agency.

**Program Comments:** The engineers and engineering technicians in Special Districts have four main tasks: infrastructure assessment and long-term planning; designing and managing sewer rehabilitation and expansion projects; assisting treatment and collections staff in anticipating, understanding and complying with complicated regulations; locating sewer lines when Underground Service Alert is called by contractors who are digging near our sewers. The indicators above show the level of work required by that staff.

### Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations

**Program Purpose:** To operate and maintain wastewater treatment plants for county SMD and CSA to ensure compliance with regulations that protect the public health, the environment and water quality.

Total Expenditures: \$1,822,904 Total Staffing: 13.0

 Key Intended Outcome: The public health, environment and water quality in the State of California are protected.

Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
# of million gallons (MG) of water treated	782 MG	833 MG	880 MG	841 MG
\$ per million gallons of water treated	\$1,910 per MG	\$2,852 per MG	\$2,843 per MG	\$2,167 per MG
# of water quality permit violations per year	8	42	58	35
\$ paid in fines due to water quality permit violations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Program Comments:** The wastewater treatment plant operations staff operates and maintains two mechanical treatment plants, two sewer pond systems, the Sheridan Domestic Water System and the Blue Canyon Community Sewer System. They operate those systems under permits issued by the State of California and Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). When the plants are not operating correctly, violations occur and fines may be assessed. The indicators above show the level of work required and the problems incurred.

### Collection System Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To clean, repair and upgrade sewer pipes and sewage pump stations for county SMD and CSA in order to ensure sewer infrastructure is maintained to maximize its life span, prevent sewage system overflows and protect the public health.

**Total Expenditures:** \$2,920,969 **Total Staffing:** 25.0

• **Key Intended Outcome:** Lifespan of infrastructure is maximized, sewage system overflows are prevented and the public health is protected.

Jim Durfee, Director

Collection System Maintenance	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
# of sewage overflows per year	8	4	7	5
# of preventable sewage overflows per year	2	2	0	0
# of miles of sewer pipeline managed / # of miles of sewer pipe maintained per staff per EPA standards	264 / 33	269 / 33.6	280 / 23	278
\$ per mile to maintain collection system	\$8,300 per mile	\$11,110 per mile	\$10,241 per mile	\$10,507 per mile

**Program Comments:** Special Districts Division maintains 260 miles of sewer pipe in 9 sewer districts around the County. They also maintain 36 sewage liftstations in those districts. Sewers need to be cleaned and pump stations maintained or sewage overflows occur. The indicators above reflect the level of maintenance put into the sewer system and the number of overflows that occur. The Federal EPA standard for collection system maintenance is approximately 20 miles of sewer pipe per sewer worker.

# SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220450 / APPROPRIATION 04500

	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	F	Requested 2006-07	R	Recommended 2006-07	Change %		Adopted 2006-07
Operating Expenses									
Salaries and Employee Benefits	\$ 1,458,641	\$ 1,621,430	\$	1,900,030	\$	1,900,030	17%	\$	1,900,030
Services and Supplies	543,128	778,708		925,909		925,909	19%		925,909
Other Charges	322	322		14,500		14,500	4403%		14,500
Appropriations for Contingencies	-	-		125,000		125,000	100%		125,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 2,002,091	\$ 2,400,460	\$	2,965,439	\$	2,965,439	24%	\$	2,965,439
Revenue									
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 228,792	\$ 414,137	\$	312,000	\$	312,000	-25%	\$	312,000
Intergovernmental Revenue	27,926	28,531.00		28,032		28,032	-2%		28,032
Charges for Services	1,842,310	3,848,964		3,448,937		3,448,937	-10%		3,448,937
Miscellaneous Revenue	1,256,654	2,524.00		-		-	-100%		-
Total Revenue:	3,355,682	4,294,156		3,788,969		3,788,969	-12%	_	3,788,969
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,353,591	\$ 1,893,696	\$	823,530	\$	823,530	-57%	\$	823,530
Allocated Positions	20	20		22		22	10%		22

Note: This budget also provides for labor to support the Eastern Regional Landfill's operations (\$178,083 / 2 positions) and the Western Placer Waste Management Authority (\$1,343,859 / 15 positions).

CORE FUNCTION: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

### Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To monitor and maintain three closed landfills located in Meadow Vista, Foresthill and Loomis to protect the public by mitigating possible environmental impacts caused by past burial of solid waste.

Total Expenditures: \$761,276 Total Staffing: 1.0

Key Intended Outcome: Environmental impacts are mitigated.

Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Board	0	0	0	0
# of landfill gas samples exceeding 5% at property line	0	0	0	0
# of water samples taken per quarter (average)	38	27	30	30

**Program Comments:** All three landfills remain in compliance with Corrective Action Plans required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Landfill gas recovery systems are operating effectively to control gas migration. Water samples taken as required by Waste Discharge Requirements.

### Garbage Collection Program

**Program Purpose:** To provide efficient garbage collection services to resident and business owners in order to cost effectively manage solid waste generated throughout unincorporated areas in Placer County.

Total Expenditures: \$380,638 Total Staffing: 0.5

Key Intended Outcome: Solid waste is managed efficiently and effectively.

Garbage Collection Indicators:	Actual 2003-04	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	Projected 2006-07
# of customer complaints received	4	43	85	48
% of customer complaints responded to within 24 hours	75%	100%	100%	100%
Ratio of % increase in customer cost for garbage collection (Auburn Placer Disposal Service (APDS) toter customer) to % increase in Consumer Price Index (CPI)	.06	.13	.49	1.00
Ratio of increase in customer cost for garbage collection (Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal (TTSD) 32-gal can customer) to increase in CPI	1.10	.85	.94	1.10
# of customers served by APDS	24,971	25,435	26,669	26,400
# of customers served by TTSD	9,304	9,348	9,628	9,300

**Program Comments:** The number of complaints received remains small compared to the number of customers served. Both garbage services are doing a good job of handling problems that occur on a routine basis. Collection costs for Auburn Placer Disposal Service have increased due to higher costs for fuel, insurance and labor. Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal rates will continue to increase faster than the CPI due to tipping fee increases at the Eastern Regional Landfill.

### Recycling & Household Hazardous Waste Program

**Program Purpose:** To provide recycling and hazardous waste services to citizens throughout the unincorporated areas of Placer County in order to conserve resources, reduce the quantity of wastes buried in landfills, and provide safe disposal of hazardous wastes while meeting state mandated waste diversion goals.

Total Expenditures: \$126,879 Total Staffing: 0.5

 Key Intended Outcome: Resources are conserved, the quantity of waste buried in landfills is reduced, and hazardous wastes are disposed of safely.

Recycling & Household Hazardous Waste	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Programs Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
% diversion per requirement imposed by AB 939 (50% diversion mandate / data lags by 2 years)	58%	59%	59%	59%
# of unincorporated Placer County customers using free Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) services	1,592	2,054	3,108	2,500
% satisfaction of HHW event customers	N/A	97%	97%	90%

**Program Comments:** Diversion programs have been very successful. New contract requirements at the Western Placer Waste Management Authority (WPWMA) MRF should result in diversion levels even further above the state requirement of 50%. HHW is accepted at the MRF three days per week. A one-day HHW event that served 1,378 customers was staged in Auburn for the third consecutive year. A new event to serve the Foresthill area is planned for May 2006 and is expected to continue into the FY 2006-07 budget year.

#### Labor To Western Placer Waste Management Authority

Program Purpose: To provide services to the Western Placer Waste Management Authority

**Total Expenditures:** \$1,346,671 **Total Staffing:** 16.0

#### Labor To Eastern Regional Landfill and Special Districts

**Program Purpose**: To provide services to the Eastern Regional Sanitary Landfill and Special Districts budgets.

**Total Expenditures:** \$349,975 **Total Staffing:** 4.0

# EASTERN REGIONAL LANDFILL ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220400 / APPROPRIATION 02890

	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	F	Requested 2006-07	R	ecommended 2006-07	Change %	Adopted 2006-07
Operating Expenses								
Services and Supplies	\$ 892,015	\$ 570,931	\$	877,305	\$	877,305	54%	877,305
Other Charges	120,615	99,519		394,725		394,725	297%	394,725
Appropriations for Contingencies	-	-		120,000		120,000	100%	120,000
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$ 1,012,630	\$ 670,450	\$	1,392,030	\$	1,392,030	108%	\$ 1,392,030
Revenue								
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$ 172,813	\$ 296,781	\$	210,215	\$	210,215	-29%	\$ 210,215
Charges for Services	1,422,101	1,820,368		1,676,754		1,676,754	-8%	1,676,754
Other Financing Sources	170,769	-		-		-	0%	-
Total Revenue:	1,765,683	2,117,149		1,886,969		1,886,969	-11%	1,886,969
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 753,053	\$ 1,446,699	\$	494,939	\$	494,939	-66%	\$ 494,939
Fixed Assets	\$ 114,067	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	0%	\$ -
Allocated Positions	-	-		-		-	0%	-

CORE FUNCTION: EASTERN REGIONAL LANDFILL

### Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance Program

**Program Purpose:** To protect the public from possible environmental impacts caused by past burial of solid waste in the Eastern Regional Landfill.

**Total Expenditures:** \$556,812 **Total Staffing:** 0

• Key Intended Outcome: Groundwater is protected, recyclables are reused and landfill space is saved.

Landfill Monitoring & Maintenance	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Local Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Boards	0	0	0	0
# of water samples taken per quarter (average)	17	10	12	12
# of landfill gas samples exceeding 5% at the property line	0	0	0	0

**Program Comments:** The landfill remains in compliance with a myriad of local, state and federal regulations. Construction of five new monitoring wells in FY 2004-05 has improved data available to analyze groundwater and protect the environment. The landfill gas control system has been operating with very few shutdowns and has effectively controlled landfill gas.

### Jim Durfee, Director

### Material Recovery Facility Operations Program

**Program Purpose:** To recover recyclable materials from the wastes generated in Placer County, Nevada County, Truckee, Colfax and El Dorado County, and transfer unwanted materials to the Lockwood Landfill in Nevada.

Total Expenditures: \$835,218 Total Staffing: 0

• **Key Intended Outcome:** Recyclable materials recovered from waste stream, resources preserved and landfill space is saved.

Material Recovery Facility Operations	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected
Indicators:	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
% of materials diverted at the ERL MRF	34.7%	46.0%	50.0%	50.0%
# of tons processed per quarter through ERL Operations (average)	19,052	20,425	26,472	22,000
Ratio of % increase in cost per ton to process and dispose of waste to % increase in CPI	1.47	.43	.78	1.10
# of violations of state minimum standards noted by the Local Enforcement Agent or Regional Water Quality Control Boards	0	0	0	0

**Program Comments:** A major facility expansion was completed in FY 2004-05. This has allowed our contractor to process more materials and recover a higher percentage of those processed. Costs are projected to rise faster than the CPI because we need to contribute funds towards the purchase of existing and planned buildings from our contractor.

# ERSLA CLOSURE / POST CLOSURE ENTERPRISE FUND FUND 220404 / APPROPRIATION 02840

	;	Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	equested 2006-07	R	ecommended 2006-07	Change %	dopted 2006-07
Operating Expenses								
Other Financing Uses	\$	170,769	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -
Total Operating Expenditures:	\$	170,769	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$ -
Revenue								
Revenue from Use of Money and Property	\$	74,272	\$ 114,812	\$ -	\$	-	-100%	\$ -
Total Revenue:		74,272	114,812	-		-	-100%	-
Net Income (Loss)	\$	(96,497)	\$ 114,812	\$ -	\$	-	-100%	\$ -
Allocated Positions		_	_	_		-	0%	_

**Program Purpose:** The ERSLA Closure / Post Closure appropriation met the financial assurance obligations for the closure costs and post closure costs of Title XXVII of the Code of Regulations. These regulations required that a certain amount of funding be maintained in trust to ensure that enough funds are dedicated and available to meet the financial needs of the closed facility.

For several years, the County has been contributing funds to the trust fund per the regulations. This appropriation was used to fund the post closure expenses of the landfill. The Integrated Waste Management Board has approved the transfer of trust funds to this appropriation to fund operations. The trust continues to demonstrate the ability to fund expenses for the mandatory 30-year maintenance period.

The fund will be closed June 30, 2006.

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Internal Service Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

Fund: 260 District Services Fund
Subfund: 800 Special District Services

Sı	ubfund: 800 Special District Servic	ces				Approved
	et Unit: 6280 Special District Service string Detail	ces Actual 2004-05	Actual 2005-06	Department Request 2006-07	Recommended by C.E.O. 2006-07	Adopted by the Board of Supervisors 2006-07
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Operatir	ng Income					
8175	Sanitation Services	4,366,542	4,983,102	6,610,083	6,610,083	6,107,404
8212	Other General Reimbursement	765	102	2,012,020	2,0.0,000	2,101,101
8243	Plan Check Fees	173,886	114,395			
8269	Planning - At Cost Projects Fees	6,533	13,718			
8764		1,060	95			
	Total Operating Income	4,548,786	5,111,412	6,610,083	6,610,083	6,107,404
Operatir	ng Expenses					
1001	Employee Paid Sick Leave	290	452	800	800	800
1002	Salaries and Wages	2,100,574	2,224,762	2,490,303	2,490,303	2,548,599
1003	Extra Help	38,341	63,532	40,517	40,517	40,517
1004 1005	Accr Compensated Leave Overtime & Call Back	10,645 115,944	25,113 249,295	120,000	120,000	120,000
1003	Comp for Absence-Illness	1,144	249,293	120,000	120,000	120,000
1300	P.E.R.S.	377,671	453,344	508,204	508,204	520,195
1301	F.I.C.A.	177,902	196,300	200,457	200,457	209,414
1303	Other - Post Employment Benefi	177,702	170,000	80,935	80,935	85,067
1310	Employee Group Ins	358,728	404,445	510,730	510,730	467,893
1315	Workers Comp Insurance	72,820	84,573	57,212	57,212	57,212
2017	Uniforms	295	1,095			
2050	Communications - Radio	94	30,544	30,993	30,993	30,993
2051	Communications - Telephone	44,489	54,547	51,504	51,504	51,504
2086 2140	Refuse Disposal	10 30,586	12,096	15,645	15,645	15,645
2273	Gen Liability Ins Parts	30,566 31,789	48,170	41,280	41,280	41,280
2290	Maintenance - Equipment	78,440	85,538	103,200	103,200	84,548
2310	Employee Benefits Systems	83,537	101,460	126,210	126,210	126,210
2404	Maintenance Services	,	26	.,		-,
2405	Materials - Bldgs & Impr	18,278	16,817	20,000	20,000	10,000
2406	Maintenance - Janitorial	136				
2422	Medical, Dental & Lab Supp	20,034	29,339	20,640	20,640	20,640
2439	Membership/Dues	5,089	7,115	8,700	8,700	8,700
2481	PC Acquisition	9,827	20,154	11,000	11,000	11,000
2511 2512	Printing Laundry/Dry Cleaning	9,759 6,852	21,042 7,466	10,300 8,200	10,300 8,200	10,300 8,200
2521	Operating Supplies	0,032	2,063	0,200	0,200	0,200
2522	Other Supplies		2,000	1,200	1,200	1,200
2523	Office Supplies & Exp	11,728	14,533	17,000	17,000	12,656
2524	Postage	3,358	6,721	5,100	5,100	5,100
2550	Administration	130,894	169,626	200,412	200,412	163,312
2555	Prof/Spec Svcs - Purchased	35,155	43,457	99,000	99,000	99,000
2556	Prof/Spec Svcs - County	113,181	133,961	345,010	345,010	244,641
2701	Publications & Legal Notices	1,210	2,555	725	725	725
2709 2710	Rents & Leases - Computer S Rents & Leases - Equipment	16,175 737	24,437 1,330	18,533 7,000	18,533 7,000	18,533 7,000
2710	Small Tools & Instruments	13,379	8,383	8,000	8,000	8,000
2770	Fuels & Lubricants	41,592	64,395	41,200	41,200	41,200
2838	Special Dept Expense-1099 Rep	16,641	1,025	,====	,====	,
2840	Special Dept Expense	157,923	90,992	123,800	123,800	82,502
2844	Training	8,429	3,807	21,950	21,950	3,929
2931	Travel & Transportation	2,737	8,658	8,500	8,500	8,500
2932	Mileage	553	579	145.000	145.000	145.000
2941	County Vehicle Mileage	143,749	154,084	145,800	145,800	145,800
2965 3551	Utilities Transfer Out A-87 Costs	10,975 72,983	13,089 277,392	10,000	10,000	10,000
3701	Equipment Depreciation	72,983 147,096	277,392 165,645	185,540	185,540	185,540
3701	Bldg & Impr Depreciation	326	326			
5600	Appropriation for Contingencies	320	320	140,000	140,000	140,000
	Total Operating Expenses	4 500 005	F.00:000			
	rotal Operating Expenses	4,522,095	5,324,283	5,835,600	5,835,600	5,646,355

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Internal Service Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

County Budget Form Schedule 10

	Fund: 260	District Services Fund					
	bfund: 800 et Unit: 6280	Special District Services Special District Services			Department	Recommended	Approved Adopted by the Board
•	ting Detail (1)		Actual 2004-05 (2)	Actual 2005-06 (3)	Request 2006-07 (4)	by C.E.O. 2006-07 (5)	of Supervisors 2006-07 (6)
	Net Operating In	come (Loss)	26,691	(212,871)	774,483	774,483	461,049
Non-Ope	rating Revenue (E	Expense)					
3776	Contrib Auto Wo	orking Capital		(16,879)			
6950 7234	Interest State Aid - Man	datad Casta	6,786	21,917 374	31,000	31,000	23,952
7234 7249	Federal Aid Cor		38,700	374			
8752	Gain/Loss on F/		001.00	(13,215)			
8796	Sewer Facility F	ee	130,736	68,085			
	Total Non-Opera	ating Revenue (Expense)	176,222	60,282	31,000	31,000	23,952
	Net Income (Los	s)	202,913	(152,589)	805,483	805,483	485,001
Fixed Ass	sets						
4451	Equipment		384,802	405,728	281,500	281,500	261,500
	Total Fixed Ass	ets	384,802	405,728	281,500	281,500	261,500

County of Placer
State of California
Operations of Enterprise Fund
Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

Subfund:	450	Solid Waste Management
Budget Unit:	4500	Solid Waste Management

Sı	ubfund:	450	Solid Waste Management					Approved
Budg	et Unit:	4500	Solid Waste Management					Adopted by
Opera	ating Det (1)	ail		Actual 2004-05 (2)	Actual 2005-06 (3)	Department Request 2006-07 (4)	Recommended by C.E.O. 2006-07 (5)	the Board of Supervisors 2006-07 (6)
Operatin	g Income	·						
	Direct C			23,737	28,631	25,000	25,000	25,000
8173		aste Sei	vices	25,757	1,820,865	1,658,604	1,658,604	1,658,604
8174	Landfill			1,818,430	1,999,458	1,765,333	1,765,333	1,765,333
			Reimbursement	143	10			
8764			Revenues	1,256,654	2,524			
	Total Op		Income	3,098,964	3,851,488	3,448,937	3,448,937	3,448,937
	g Expens			4.0		4.000	4.000	4.000
1001			Sick Leave	19	4,826	1,000	1,000	1,000
1002 1003	Salaries Extra He		ages	994,936 5,044	1,034,279 14,703	1,235,496	1,235,496	1,235,496
1003			ted Leave	4,827	5,239			
1005	Overtim			17,552	19,504	22,000	22,000	22,000
1300	P.E.R.S			181,581	211,679	250,475	250,475	250,475
1301	F.I.C.A.			78,804	82,761	96,199	96,199	96,199
1303			ployment Benefi			40,153	40,153	40,153
1310	Employ			160,312	187,663	235,184	235,184	235,184
1315 2050			Insurance s - Radio	15,566 6,977	60,776 21,636	19,523 19,936	19,523 19,936	19,523 19,936
2050			s - Raulo s - Telephone	6,976	7,620	18,000	18,000	18,000
2086	Refuse			189	7,020	10,000	10,000	10,000
2140	Gen Lia			5,730	6,079	8,187	8,187	8,187
2273	Parts			85	43			
2290			quipment	8,088		4,000	4,000	4,000
2310			fits Systems	39,290	47,767	60,174	60,174	60,174
2405 2439	Material Member		•	836 171	37,714 792	34,000 700	34,000 700	34,000 700
2437	PC Acq		63	1,407	11,811	8,000	8,000	8,000
2508	Collection		ges	237	286	0,000	0,000	0,000
2511	Printing			2,386	3,260	3,000	3,000	3,000
2523	Office S	Supplies	& Exp	2,941	3,062	3,500	3,500	3,500
2524	Postage			2,828	2,405	4,500	4,500	4,500
2547	Landfill		ons	47.140	17,006	02.525	02.525	02 525
2550 2555	Adminis		- Purchased	47,140 138,819	74,615 157,429	93,525 348,000	93,525 348,000	93,525 348,000
2556			- County	38,139	48,608	65,000	65,000	65,000
2559	County			14,914	4,589	20,000	20,000	20,000
2701			egal Notices	2,799	(45)	600	600	600
2709			- Computer S	7,283	9,061	8,285	8,285	8,285
2710			- Equipment	47.040	47.040	3,000	3,000	3,000
2727 2744			- Bldgs & Impr nstruments	17,319 885	17,942	18,000	18,000	18,000
2770	Fuels &			14	12	2,300	2,300	2,300
2838			pense-1099 Rep	17	1,193			
2840	Special			77,412	61,748	80,000	80,000	80,000
2844	Training		•	804	315	2,000	2,000	2,000
2931	Travel 8		ortation	3,406	254	1,200	1,200	1,200
2932	Mileage		NAUL	398	364	1,000	1,000	1,000
2941 2965	County Utilities	venicie	willeage	17,859 3,203	19,636 2,660	19,000 8,000	19,000 8,000	19,000 8,000
2970		Sewan	e - Special Dist	34,065	137,250	10,000	10,000	10,000
3551			87 Costs	60,528	83,596	82,002	82,002	82,002
3701			reciation	•		6,700	6,700	6,700
3702	Bldg & I	mpr De	preciation	322	322	7,800	7,800	7,800
5600	Appropr	iation fo	r Contingencies			125,000	125,000	125,000
	Total Op	erating	Expenses	2,002,091	2,400,460	2,965,439	2,965,439	2,965,439
	Net Ope	rating In	come (Loss)	1,096,873	1,451,028	483,498	483,498	483,498
Non-Ope	erating Re	evenue (I	Expense)					
6950	Interest			228,792	414,137	312,000	312,000	312,000
7199			nstruction	27,926	28,032		*	

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

County Budget Form Schedule 11

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

Subfund: 450 Solid Waste Management

Budget Unit: 4500 Solid Waste Management

Department R	Recommended the Board	
Operating Detail Actual Actual Request 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07 (1) (2) (3) (4)	by C.E.O. of Supervisor 2006-07 2006-07 (5) (6)	rs
7234 State Aid - Mandated Costs 499 28,032	28,032 28,032	
Total Non-Operating Revenue ( 256,718 442,668 340,032	340,032 340,032	
Net Income (Loss) 1,353,591 1,893,696 823,530	823,530 823,530	
Fixed Assets		
Total Fixed Assets 0 0 0	0	

#### County Budget Form Schedule 11

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

	ubfund: 400 Eastern Regional Landfill et Unit: 2890 Eastern Region Landfill					Approved Adopted by
Opera	ating Detail (1)	Actual 2004-05 (2)	Actual 2005-06 (3)	Department Request 2006-07 (4)	Recommended by C.E.O. 2006-07 (5)	the Board of Supervisors 2006-07 (6)
Operatir	ng Income					
6965	Rents & Concessions	43.743	72,198	51.405	51,405	51.405
8174	Landfill Fees	1,412,261	1,815,894	1,653,803	1,653,803	1,653,803
	Other General Reimbursement	9,840	4,474	22,951	22,951	22,951
	Total Operating Income	1,465,844	1,892,566	1,728,159	1,728,159	1,728,159
Operatir	ng Expenses	, ,	7 7	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, ,,
2050	Communications - Radio	4,891	4,651	8,000	8,000	8,000
2051	Communications - Telephone	2,570	3,309	3,400	3,400	3,400
2130	Insurance	35,793	35,066	38,000	38,000	38,000
2140	Gen Liability Ins	364,577	1,893	2,185	2,185	2,185
2273	Parts	220	2,869			
2290	Maintenance - Equipment		3,230	7,000	7,000	7,000
2405	Materials - Bldgs & Impr	6,320	415	40,000	40,000	40,000
2511	Printing	269	62	1,250	1,250	1,250
2524	Postage	17				
2547	Landfill Operations	170	8,779			
2550	Administration	1,873	2,891	3,340	3,340	3,340
2555	Prof/Spec Svcs - Purchased	323,175	338,166	505,000	505,000	505,000
2556	Prof/Spec Svcs - County	105,699	118,720	195,000	195,000	195,000
2701	Publications & Legal Notices	202	219			
2709	Rents & Leases - Computer S	2,722	2,989	1,490	1,490	1,490
2710	Rents & Leases - Equipment	32				
2727	Rents & Leases - Bldgs & Impr		449			
2840	Special Dept Expense	15,470	16,006	24,400	24,400	24,400
2931	Travel & Transportation	67	149	800	800	800
2941	County Vehicle Mileage	135	47	2,500	2,500	2,500
2965	Utilities	9,517	11,127	12,000	12,000	12,000
2970	Water & Sewage - Special Dist	13,000	15,938	18,000	18,000	18,000
3551	Transfer Out A-87 Costs	5,296	3,956	14,940	14,940	14,940
5600	Appropriation for Contingencies			120,000	120,000	120,000
	Total Operating Expenses	892,015	570,931	997,305	997,305	997,305
	Net Operating Income (Loss)	573,829	1,321,635	730,854	730,854	730,854

	Total Non-Operating Revenue (	179.224	125,064	(235,915)	(235,915)	(235,915)
8954	Operating Transfers In	170,769				
6950	Interest	129,070	224,583	158,810	158,810	158,810
3838	Interest on Other L/T Debt	990	1,069			
3830	Lease Purchase Interest	(121,605)	(100,588)	(109,725)	(109,725)	(109,725)
3810	Lease Purchase Principal	(270,000)	(285,000)	(285,000)	(285,000)	(285,000)
3803	Long-Term Debt Repaid	270,000	285,000			

1,446,699

494,939

494,939

494,939

Fixed As	ssets	
4151	Buildings & Improvements	114,067

Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)

Net Income (Loss)

Total Fixed Assets 114,067 0 0	0 0	0 0		Total Fixed Assets
--------------------------------	-----	-----	--	--------------------

753,053

# County of Placer State of California Operations of Enterprise Fund Operational Statement for the Fiscal Year 2006-07

County Budget Form Schedule 11

Approved

Fund: 220 Facilities Fund

Subfund: 404 ERSLA Closure/Post Closure
Budget Unit: 2840 ERSLA Closure/Post Closure

Adopted by the Board Department Recommended Actual Request by C.E.O. of Supervisors Actual Operating Detail 2005-06 2006-07 2006-07 2006-07 2004-05 (3) (4) (5) (6) (1) (2)

Operating Income

**Total Operating Income** 

**Operating Expenses** 

Total Operating Expenses
Net Operating Income (Loss)

Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)

 3775
 Operating Transfer Out
 (170,769)

 6950
 Interest
 74,272
 114,812

 Total Non-Operating Revenue (
 (96,497)
 114,812

 Net Income (Loss)
 (96,497)
 114,812

**Fixed Assets** 

**Total Fixed Assets**